

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT			CONTRACT NO. <u> </u>		TASK NO. <u> </u>	
TO:			<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 30px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>			
			INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)			
			ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE			
NAME OF CONTRACTOR						
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE						
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.			
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -						
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -						
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)						
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)						
INCENTIVES						
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, CHECK TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> COST <input type="checkbox"/> AWARD FEE <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORMANCE <input type="checkbox"/> DELIVERY			NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.			
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR						
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE 7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY						
2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT 5. <input type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE						
3. <input type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD 6. <input type="checkbox"/> MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE						
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.						
RECOMMENDED ACTION						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED <input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE						
<input type="checkbox"/> CLOSE OUT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)						
IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:						
ITEM		REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM		
PROTOTYPES				MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS				FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS				SPECIAL TOOLING		
				OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR <u>24-25 April 1962</u>						
25X1						

NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM☐ FINAL

During the inspector's visit to the Contractor's facility on 14-15 April, sixteen (16) 12 1/8" X 15 1/8" sample screens were presented for approval. These screens, having different combinations of measured characteristics, were prepared for the subjective tests to be conducted at WFO by the Boeing Company, commencing on 19 May 1969.

Appearance of the screens was considered to be better than any commercially available (i.e., known to the inspector). However, there was little variation between any two of the screens when viewed side by side.

It was concluded that a few screens should be designed to match the characteristics of the viewer to be used in the Boeing tests, varying a few of the screen parameters. Boeing will then conduct a thorough analysis of the sample screens and pinpoint those parameters which most influence the subjective acceptance of the screen. Corning will then use this data to manufacture a "best available discrete particle" screen. This effort will be as far as they can go with this type of screen.

In addition, Corning is continuing to pursue the development of a particular screen.

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

NPIC/TSSG/DED-1493-69
5 February 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Trip Report - Corning Glass Works [REDACTED]

25X1

1. On Monday, 16 December 1968, I visited the Electronic Research Laboratory of Corning Glass Works, 3800 Electronics Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602. The purpose of this visit was to conduct the required monthly inspection under [REDACTED] - Improved Rear Projection Screen Material. Corning personnel participating in the discussion held during this visit were: [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

2. I was advised that the planning associated with the production of the 12 1/8" X 15 1/8" test screens (re: paragraph 3, Memo for Record, dated 5 December 68) had been completed and requests for quotations had been sent to various sources. A number of these screens having various characteristics will be made and from these, about six will be selected and forwarded to us for subjective testing.

3. A search for the most practical method for making the lenticular screen is being conducted. Present thinking is vectored toward the use of plastics as a cheap substitute for research purposes in perfecting this type of screen.

4. As a final item, [REDACTED] made a request for some representative black and white and color positives for use in conjunction with the Improved Rear Projection Screen contract. An effort will be made to supply such material during the next visit.

25X1

25X1

[REDACTED]
TSSG/DED/R&DB-I

Distribution:

Orig - Rt & File
2 - Chronos DED